

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,537	2,537 10/31/2003		Dong Keun Han	8111-034-999	2697	
20583	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER		
JONES DA			BERMAN, SUSAN W			
222 EAST 4 NEW YORI		0017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,537	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan W. Berman	1711	

	Susan W. Berman	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Netice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	oliones with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ha af tha data af
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	had a tar had had daha af fillian a later		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amenoment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed emendme	ant cancaling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ir submitted itt a separate,	unlery med amending	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	••		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached pages.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(P10/58/08 or P10-1449) Paper N	NU(S)	
		Susan W Berman Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1711

Application/Control Number: 10/699,537

Art Unit: 1711

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 04/05/2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Application No. 10/699,117 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 1 in the proposed amendment recites the adhesive monomers originally set forth in claim 5.

Applicant argues that Huang et al '934 uses PENTA as the phosphoric acid ester adhesive promoter and that this monomer is distinct from the monomers set forth in proposed claim 1. This argument is not persuasive because the disclosure of Huang et al is not limited to PENTA as the adhesive monomers taught. Several kinds of polymerizable (meth)acrylate phosphate monomers are taught (see column 6, line 15, to column 7, line 14). Huang et al specifically teach 2-(meth)acryloyloxyethyl phosphate, a monomer which is set forth in proposed claim 1 (column 6, lines 38-50). Huang et al also refer to Omura et al Patent 4,499,251 and other prior Patents for further disclosure of useful phosphoric acid esters (column 6, lines 50-53).

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of the references. This argument is not persuasive. Han et al and Huang et al each teach analogous compositions for dental use. Han et al teach that additives for dental compositions can be included in the disclosed compositions. Huang et al teach that polymerizable adhesive promoting monomers can be added to improve adhesion and that HEMA can be added with the phosphoric acid ester to provide an adhesive primer. The examiner's position is that one skilled in the art of providing polymerizable dental compositions would have been motivated to add adhesion promoting phosphate monomers and HEMA, as taught by Huang et al, to the analogous (meth)acrylate functional compositions taught by Han et al with a reasonable expectation of providing useful dental compositions having improved adhesion, as taught by Huang et al.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan W. Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 5/3/06

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700